

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,551	02/26/2004	Sergio Bernasconi	5002-1055	3244
466	7590 05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			3677	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/786,551	BERNASCONI, SERGIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
!	Ruth C Rodriguez	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Gee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the defined depics flot received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 05102005				

M

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract exceeds 150 words in length.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- Page 1, lines 26, 31, 35 and 36, "the said" should be replaced with --said--or --the--.

Art Unit: 3677

• Page 2, lines 4 (two occurrences), 20, 24 and between lines 37 and 38, "the said" should be replaced with --said-- or --the--.

- Page 1, lines 26, 31, 35 and 36, "the said" should be replaced with --said--or --the--.
 - Page 3, line 35, "the said" should be replaced with --said-- or --the--.
- Lines 6, 8-10, 13 and 15 of the Abstract, "the said" should be replaced with --said-- or --the--.

Correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 1-3 objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 6, 7, 9, 10, 13 and 14 of claim 1; line 2 of claim 2; and line 2 of claim 3, "the said" should be replaced with --the-- or --said--. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3677

7. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ikehara et al. (US 5,848,455).

Slider for a zip fastener with two tabs (2) comprises a hollow body (4,10) housing two levers (3,6) positioned on opposite sides of the teeth of the fastener (Figs. 10 and 11). One of the two levers is provided with a pawl (26) for insertion between the teeth to lock the slider and being operable by acting on either one of the tabs to overcome the resistance of an elastic element (24) positioned within the hollow body which keeps the pawl inserted between the teeth (Figs. 10 and 11). Characterized in that this elastic element consists of an elastically flexible strip, having one end fixed to the lever provided with the pawl (Figs. 10 and 11). The strip facing an internal wall of the hollow body in such a way that it is interposed between the body and the aforesaid lever and its elastic resistance opposes a movement of the lever that causes the extraction of the pawl from the teeth (Figs. 10 and 11).

Ikehara also discloses that both the levers and the elastically flexible strip are made from plastic material (C. 2, L. 24-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3677

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikehara. Ikehara disclose a slider having all the features mentioned above in paragraph 7 for the rejection of claim 1. Ikehara fails to disclose that both the levers and the elastically flexible strip are made from a metallic material. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide both the levers and the elastically flexible strip are made from a metallic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

European Patent Document EP 0 612 486 A1 is cited to show state of the art with respect to a slider having a locking member that has an integral elastic member that biases the locking member into engagement with the teeth of the slide fastener.

Bashover (US 2,657,444) and Ishii et al. (US 4,679,281) are cited to show state of the art with respect to sliders having two pull tabs operable to disegage a locking pawl from the teeth of the slide fastener.

Erdmann (US 2,622,297), Moertel (US 3,793,684), Fukuroi (US 3,945,090), Akashi et al. (US 4,976,014) and European Patent Document EP 0 366 022 A1 are

A -4 1 1 -- 4 - 0077

Art Unit: 3677

cited to show state of the art with respect to slide fasteners having one pull tab to disengage a locking member from the teeth of the slide fastener where an elastic member that biases the locking member into engagement with the teeth is integral with the locking member.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase the patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as PTO's mailroom processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence **not** permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP § 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee that the applicant is paying by check **should not be** submitted by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,551

Art Unit: 3677

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP § 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 872-9306) on ____(Date) __.

(Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate)

(Signature)

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP § 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response has been transmitted by facsimile will cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application, duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6640.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/786,551

Art Unit: 3677

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677 Page 8

rcr May 10, 2005